UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Christian Barcenas,	: Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	: :
v.	:
Accounts Recovery Bureau, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendants.	: :

For this Complaint, Plaintiff, Christian Barcenas, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, *et seq.* (the "FDCPA"), in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, Christian Barcenas ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Tampa, Florida, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant, Accounts Recovery Bureau, Inc. ("ARB"), is a Pennsylvania business entity with an address of 555 Vanreed Road, Wyomissing, Pennsylvania 19610, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by ARB and whose identities are currently unknown to Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
 - 7. ARB at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. Plaintiff allegedly incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$138.00 (the "Debt") to Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes, which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to ARB for collection, or ARB was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. ARB Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. On or about April 2, 2013, ARB began placing daily calls to Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.
- 13. On or about April 11, 2013, Plaintiff sent a letter to ARB requesting that ARB cease all calls. ARB received this letter on April 15, 2013.
- 14. Despite receiving Plaintiff's letter, ARB continued to call Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 15. Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 16. As a direct consequence of Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

<u>COUNT I</u> VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, ET SEQ.

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 18. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(c) in that Defendants contacted Plaintiff after having received written notification from Plaintiff to cease communication.
- 19. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse Plaintiff in connection with collection of the Debt.
- 20. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged Plaintiff in telephone conversations with the intent to annoy and harass Plaintiff.
- 21. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e in that Defendants used false, deceptive and/or misleading representations or means in connection with collection of the Debt.
- 22. Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 23. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA.

24. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA FAIR CREDIT EXTENSION UNIFORMITY ACT, 73 P.S. § 2270, ET SEQ.

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
 - 26. Plaintiff is a "consumer," as defined in 73 P.S. § 2270.3.
 - 27. Defendants are each individually a "debt collector" as defined in 73 P.S. § 2270.3.
- 28. Defendants violated provisions of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq., which constitutes an unfair or deceptive practice under 73 P.S. § 2270.4(a).
 - 29. Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

COUNT III VIOLATIONS OF THE PENNSYLVANIA UNFAIR TRADE PRACTICES AND CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW, 73 P.S. § 201-1, ET SEQ.

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 31. Defendants' violations of the Pennsylvania Fair Credit Extension Uniformity Act constitute per se violations under the Pennsylvania Unfair Trade Practices and Consumer Protection Law.
- 32. Defendants' acts were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law.
- 33. As a result of Defendants' violations, Plaintiff has suffered ascertainable losses entitling Plaintiff to actual, statutory and treble damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) and 73 P.S. § 2270.5;
- 4. Statutory damages pursuant to 73 P.S. § 2270.5(c);
- 5. Actual damages pursuant to 73 P.S. § 201-9.2(a);
- 6. Statutory damages pursuant to 73 P.S. § 201-9.2(a);
- 7. Treble damages pursuant to 73 P.S. § 201-9.2(a);
- 8. Punitive damages; and
- 9. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: October 21, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By <u>/s/ JBB8445</u>

Jody B. Burton, Esq.
Bar No.: 71681
LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C.
1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor
Stamford, CT 06905
Telephone: (203) 653-2250
Facsimile: (203) 653-3424

Attorneys for Plaintiff